

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

MARJORY STONEMAN DOUGLAS BUILDING 3900 COMMONWEALTH BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-3000 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

CARLOS LOPEZ-CANTERA LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

MEMORANDUM

To:

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr., Secretary

From:

Candie M. Fuller, Inspector General

Subject:

Audit Follow – up

Date:

September 26, 2014

In accordance with Section 20.055 (5) (h), Florida Statutes, a report of the most recent audit relating to Department of Environmental Protection, issued by the Florida Auditor General is attached. The report includes a brief summary of the audit finding, recommendation, and agency response, with status of corrective action at this time.

Please let me know if you would like additional information regarding this follow-up report.

Attachment

Cc: Joint Legislative Auditing Committee

FOLLOW-UP OF AUDIT REPORTS ISSUED BY THE AUDITOR GENERAL OR OPPAGA								
AUDITING	REPORT	PERIOD	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND	SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTIONS				
ENTITY	NUMBER	COVERED	RECOMMENDATIONS	TAKEN				
Auditor	2014-184	Fiscal Year	Finding No. 1: State agencies did not	As documented in the April 2014 Auditor General				
General		2012-2013	always perform, or document the	Operational Audit Report 2014-184, DEP was not				
		and follow-	performance of, audits of unused	included in the leave payout follow-up testing because				
		up of	leave balances prior to calculating	report No. 2011-069 did not disclose leave payout				
		2011-069	leave payouts or maintain evidence	documentation deficiencies.				
			that leave payouts were appropriately					
			authorized. Additionally, errors were	The Bureau of Human Resource Management staff				
			noted in some leave payouts.	perform leave audits using DMS rules and guidelines,				
				DEP Attendance & Leave Directive 425 and Payroll				
			Recommendation: We recommend	Manual by DFS Bureau of State Payroll prior to all				
			that State agency management ensure	leave payouts. Leave audits are then verified by				
			that appropriate records are	separate staff than the person conducting the audit				
			maintained to demonstrate that all	prior to payments being processed.				
			leave payments are properly					
			authorized and accurately calculated and that leave audits are					
			appropriately performed and					
			documented for all employee leave					
			payouts.					
			payous					
			Finding No. 2: Some State agencies	As documented in the April 2014 Auditor General				
			had not established adequate policies	Operational Audit Report 2014-184, the follow-up				
			and procedures related to dual	audit did not disclose any deficiencies in DEP's Dual				
			employment that effectively promoted	Employment processing.				
			compliance with State law.	L - \				
			Additionally, State agencies did not	We continue to utilize DMS rules and guidelines,				
			always document that dual	DEP's Dual State Employment Directive 401 and				
			employment was properly approved	monitor dual employment through RDS reports				
			in accordance with the requirements	provided by DFS and our internal tracking				
			of State law, DMS rules, and other	spreadsheet.				
			guidelines.	r				

Recommendation: We recommend that State agency management establish appropriate procedures that provide for the proper submittal and approval of dual employment requests. Additionally, we recommend that State agencies utilize available dual employment reports to ensure that the dual employment activities of all applicable personnel have received appropriate consideration in accordance with State law, DMS rules, and other guidelines.	
Finding No. 3: State agency and DFS processes and procedures for salary reissuances should be enhanced to avoid overpayments to third parties for miscellaneous post-tax deductions. Additionally, State agencies did not always timely initiate efforts to collect from third parties overpayments made as a result of canceled salary payments. Recommendation: We recommend that the DFS provide specific guidance to State agencies regarding the methods available to prevent overpayments of miscellaneous post-tax deductions related to salary payment reissuances. Additionally, we recommend that the DACS, DCF, and DOC establish policies and procedures regarding salary payment cancellations and reissuances and the	We updated our internal warrant cancellation process and procedures document to ensure that it includes the following: Use of the DEP specific recovery code when reissuance of a cancelled salary payment is necessary; Improved coordination between the Bureau of Human Resource Management and the Bureau of Finance and Accounting in handling the warrant cancellation and recovery of post-tax deductions process; and, Establish timeframes to initiate a written request for recovery of post-tax deductions, follow-up to ensure receipt, and turning over for collections when necessary.

recovery of overpayments from third parties and that the DMS update its policies and procedures to address monitoring the recovery of overpayments. We also recommend that State agencies take appropriate steps to ensure the timely recovery of overpayments of State funds.	
Finding No. 4: State agencies did not always document, upon the employees' separation from State employment, the return of State-owned property items assigned to employees.	As documented in the April 2014 Auditor General Operational Audit Report 2014-184, DEP was not included in the Employee Out-Processing Forms and Checklists follow-up testing because report No. 2011-069 did not disclose documentation deficiencies in this area.
Recommendation: We recommend that State agency management take steps to ensure that forms designed to document the return of all State-owned property by separating employees are utilized during the out processing of employees.	We continue to utilize DEP's Employment Separations Directive 406 and our Notice of Separation for FTE and OPS Employees form DEP 54-601 that provides the supervisors responsibilities for return of State Equipment.