PART I
TYPES OF LEGISLATION

Discussion under this part relates to the individual types of legislation (bills, resolutions, etc.) by which the will of the Legislature is put into legal effect. The technical form to which such documents must adhere is covered in Part II.

[GENERAL LAWS]

A general law is the primary method by which the Legislature creates programs, amends existing law, and implements policies for the governing of the state.

Ordinarily, a "general law" is a law which is intended to have statewide application. But there are many laws which relate to less than the whole state and which are still legally "general laws." The Supreme Court of Florida, in an early case, declared that "Every law is general which includes in its provisions all persons or things of the same genus." McConihe v. State, 17 Fla. 238 (1879). It would really not be facetious to say that the most workable definition of a general bill is any bill that is not a special bill. The latter is more readily subject to a true definition. Moreover, it is never
necessary to distinguish between a general law and a special law except for the Florida constitutional requirements relating to the passage of special laws. (See discussion under the heading SPECIAL ACTS.)

It is well established that the Legislature may enact a general law on any subject and containing any provision, so long as it is not restricted from doing so by either the Florida or United States Constitution. Some of the restrictions of the Florida Constitution which relate to the form in which laws may be enacted are discussed under Part II.

Sample general bills may be found on pages 107 and 108.

May a bill contain more than one subject?

No. Section 6 of Article III of the Florida Constitution provides in part that:

Every law shall embrace but one subject and matter properly connected therewith....

In determining whether provisions contained in an act are embraced in one subject and matter properly connected therewith, the subject to be considered is the one expressed in the title of the act. When the subject expressed in the title is restricted, only those provisions that are fairly included in such restricted subject and matter properly connected therewith may legally be incorporated in the body of the act, even though additional provisions may be included in an act
having a single broader subject expressed in its title. Ex Parte Knight, 41 So. 786 (Fla. 1906).

Conversely, the "one subject" may be quite broad. The test as to a duplicity of subject is whether or not the provisions of the bill are designed to accomplish separate and disassociated objects of legislative effort. Indeed, so long as the bill embraces a single subject, it may amend any number of sections or even different chapters.

What happens if a bill passes with more than one subject?

Such an act may be challenged in court, and the court may declare the act to be unconstitutional for failure to comply with the Florida Constitution. It is well to remember the historical purpose of the "one-subject" requirement. As stated in Dept. of Education v. Lewis, 416 So.2d 455 (1982): "An extensive body of constitutional law teaches that the purpose of Article III, Section 6 is to insure that every proposed enactment is considered with deliberation and on its own merits. A lawmaker must not be placed in the position of having to accept a repugnant provision in order to achieve adoption of a desired one."

The propriety of "omnibus" bills, sometimes referred to during the legislative process as "trains" or "packages," is in a state of evolution. A case which may prove to be at the
outer edge is Burch v. State, 558 So.2d 1 (Fla. 1990), in which the Florida Supreme Court by a 4-3 vote upheld Chapter 87-243, Laws of Florida, the "Crime Prevention and Control Act." Despite recognizing that the act may contain "many disparate subtopics," the court, relying on the strong presumption in favor of the constitutionality of statutes, upheld the act, stating that it "is a comprehensive law in which all of its parts are directed toward meeting the crisis of increased crime." The dissenting opinion noted that while the Legislature has wide latitude in the enactment of acts, "an act in violation of the single-subject provision of the constitution cannot be saved or pass constitutional muster by virtue of the fact that the improvement of the criminal justice system is the general object of the law--it is the subject matter which is our focus." Accordingly, the drafter should proceed with great caution when tempted to combine two or more bills into a package, lest those impacted by the measure be motivated to seek further elucidation from the court.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is a &quot;general law of local application&quot;?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

It is a "general law" which, by its nature, has application only to a portion of the state. Thus, a statute relating to regions of the state or to subjects or to persons or things as a class, based upon proper distinctions and
differences that are peculiar or appropriate to the class, is a "general law of local application." Since such a law is not a "local bill," it does not have to be advertised or made subject to a referendum.

Examples of possible bases for classifications would be: all coastal counties, all counties which permit sales of alcoholic beverages by the drink, or all counties having an elected school superintendent. Other examples would include acts which relate to a particular circuit court, a state university, or to the state capitol building.

A general law of local application may not depend on an arbitrary basis. Section 11(b) of Article III of the Florida Constitution provides in part that:

In the enactment of general laws... political subdivisions or other governmental entities may be classified only on a basis reasonably related to the subject of the law.

It is for this reason that a type of general law of local application, the so-called "population act," is often regarded as being subject to constitutional challenge. Most of these were repealed in 1971. Common usage of population acts is now a thing of the past.

What is a relief act or "claim bill"?
In the larger sense, it is an act by which the Legislature seeks to address the complaint of an aggrieved party. But in practice, nearly every relief act or "claim bill" is legislation which compensates a particular person (or persons) for injuries or losses which were occasioned by the negligence or error of a public officer or agency. It is a means by which an injured party may recover damages even though the public officer or agency involved may be immune from attack by an ordinary lawsuit.

Historically, the state was absolutely immune from liability and, therefore, the objective of a claim bill was to satisfy the "moral obligation of the State...." Dickinson v. Board of Public Instruction of Dade County, 217 So.2d 553, 560 (Fla. 1968).

Under the current statutory framework, there are two types of claim bills. There are those bills which seek to recover excess judgments pursuant to the waiver of sovereign immunity, s. 768.28, Florida Statutes. In those cases, there has been a trial, and the verdict rendered is in excess of the limits of the waiver of sovereign immunity. The second type of claim bill seeks compensation for persons injured by the state who have no other legal remedy available. Pursuant to s. 11.065, Florida Statutes, a claim bill should be filed within 4 years from the date the claim accrued.

A relief act may also be a local bill, and as such is subject to the "advertising" requirements for special acts.
Determining whether a claim bill is a general bill or a special act is a critical distinction which turns on the question of who is going to pay the aggrieved party.

Samples of a general relief act and a local relief act which indicate the key differences between each type may be found in Part IV.

All claim bills should be prefilled early enough to give the special master time to conduct a hearing. This could be as much as two months or more in advance of the convening of the regular session. A specific date is usually established annually. It should be noted that, for the 1998 legislative session, the House of Representatives established a deadline of August 1 for the submission of claim bill drafts to the House Bill Drafting Service, and the Senate established an August 1 deadline for the 1999 and 2000 legislative sessions. During prior sessions, a deadline occurring sometime in December or early January has been the norm. Accordingly, it is best to check with the staff of the Claims Committee well in advance of the legislative session to determine the deadline for submission of claim bills and for information regarding other procedural requirements with respect to the introduction of claim bills.

What are companion bills?
When copies of the same bill are pending in both houses of the Legislature, they are referred to as companion bills. Bills must be substantially worded the same and must be identical as to specific intent and purpose in order to be considered as companions. In theory, if the same bill is introduced in each house, it can be considered in the respective committees of the two houses at the same time and possibly be passed into law at an early date. The rules of each house provide that if such a bill has already passed the other house, it may be substituted on the calendar for its companion and passed directly into law.

PLEASE NOTE—When requesting preparation of a bill that is meant to be a companion, do not submit the same request separately to both the House and Senate drafting services. The result will be two separate drafts that, although they may accomplish the same end, are not stylistically “companions.” Choose one drafting service to prepare the bill, and give that request’s identification number to the other drafting service. When the draft is completed and approved, it can be messaged to the other drafting service, and you will have an actual companion.
SPECIAL ACTS

As a general statement, a special act is any legislative act which meets both of the following criteria:

1. It applies to an area or group which is less than the total area or population of the state; and
2. Its subject matter is such that those to whom it is applicable are entitled to the publication or referendum required by Section 10 of Article III of the Florida Constitution.

Having said this, it should be noted that it is sometimes difficult to determine whether or not a particular legislative proposal comes within the scope of these two criteria. For a treatment of the subject which is far more extensive than that found herein, see Drafting Local Legislation in Florida, which is also a publication of the House Bill Drafting Service. Also, the staff of the House Committee on Local Government and Veterans Affairs regularly distributes material relating to local legislation and procedural requirements.

What’s the story on “advertising” special acts?

Section 10 of Article III of the Florida Constitution provides in part that:

No special law shall be passed unless notice of intention to seek enactment
thereof has been published in the manner provided by general law.

The exception to this (approval by referendum) is discussed below.

The law which relates to the manner in which such notice is to be published is found in ss. 11.02, 11.021, 11.03, and 11.065(3), Florida Statutes. None of these sections actually describes the form of such notice. However, s. 11.02, Florida Statutes, does state that "Notice of special or local legislation shall state the substance of the contemplated law, as required by s. 10, Art. III of the State Constitution."

Publication is required only one time, and must occur at least 30 days before introduction of the bill into the Legislature.

It is a common practice to use the title of the proposed bill to "state the substance of the contemplated law." It makes sense that, if the title is sufficient to meet the constitutional requirement relating to titles, it would also suffice to give notice under the constitutional requirement relating to notice. However, it is not necessarily advisable to use the title as the text for the published notice. A broader narrative-type notice often will leave room for amendments after introduction that would otherwise be outside the scope of the original title.

The suggested form of the notice as it would appear in the newspaper is as follows:
NOTICE OF LEGISLATION

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN: Notice is hereby given of intent to apply to the 2001 Session of the Florida Legislature for passage of an act relating to Lee County; amending ss. 2 and 7 of chapter 30931, Laws of Florida, 1955, relating to sales at auction, to except from the licensing requirements persons and firms who have resided or done business in the county for not less than 12 months; providing an effective date.

This example could be stated in a more general manner, thereby allowing flexibility in amending the act after introduction:

NOTICE OF LEGISLATION

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN: Notice is hereby given of intent to apply to the 2001 Session of the Florida Legislature for passage of an act relating to Lee County; amending ss. 2 and 7 of chapter 30931, Laws of Florida, 1955, relating to sales at auction, to except from the provisions thereof specified persons and firms; providing an effective date.
What is the proper form for a local bill referendum?

There is no required form for a referendum section, but it should provide a statement:

1. That the act is to take effect only upon its approval at an election.
2. That those voting shall be qualified electors.
3. Describing when and by whom the referendum shall be held, whether in conjunction with a special election or a primary election, or at the general election.
4. That in the case of a special election, a time is to be set by the county commission, city commission, or a specified and appropriate local governing body.
5. That the approval of a majority of those voting in the election shall be required for the adoption of the act.
6. That the referendum section itself is to take effect upon becoming a law.

The following are suggested forms which, with appropriate modifications, should be sufficient to meet the constitutional requirements for most local bill referendum sections:

1. For a special election

   Section __. This act shall take effect only upon its approval by a majority vote of those qualified electors of (the governmental unit of the area affected) voting in a referendum election to be called by the (appropriate governing body) and to be held on (or prior to) (date), in accordance with the provisions of law relating to elections.
currently in force, except that this section shall take effect upon becoming a law.

2. For a regular election

Section __. This act shall take effect only upon its approval by a majority vote of those qualified electors of (the governmental unit of the area affected) voting in a referendum to be held by the (appropriate governing body) in conjunction with the next regular primary or general election, in accordance with the provisions of law relating to elections currently in force, except that this section shall take effect upon becoming a law.

**JOINT RESOLUTIONS**

The joint resolution is the only authorized method by which the Legislature may propose amendments to the Florida Constitution. Section 1 of Article XI of the Florida Constitution provides in part that:

Amendment of a section or revision of one or more articles, or the whole, of this constitution may be proposed by joint resolution agreed to by three-fifths of the membership of each house of the legislature.

The primary difference between a bill and a joint resolution (or any other kind of resolution for that matter) is that a resolution does not require executive approval and cannot be vetoed by the Governor.

The proposed amendment of sections of the Florida Constitution is not covered by the requirement of Section 6 of
Article III that the "revised or amended act, section, subsection or paragraph of a subsection" be set out in full. However, the House Bill Drafting Service, following much wringing of hands and discussions with members of the revision commission responsible for the 1968 revised Constitution, adopted a policy requiring that the entire section of the Constitution be set forth in a joint resolution, even though amendment to only a portion of the section is being proposed.

Section 101.161, Florida Statutes, requires that the substance of a constitutional amendment proposed by joint resolution “shall be printed in clear and unambiguous language on the ballot,” and the wording of the substance of the amendment and the ballot title “shall be embodied in the joint resolution....” The importance of this requirement became glaringly apparent in October 1982 when the Florida Supreme Court ordered that a proposed constitutional amendment be removed from the ballot for failure to meet the requirements of s. 101.161, Florida Statutes. The ballot statement of SJR 1035 (1982) was held to be misleading in that it failed to fully disclose the primary impact of the amendment.

A sample joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Florida Constitution may be found on page 109.

In addition to proposing amendments to the Florida Constitution, joint resolutions are occasionally used for other special purposes specifically provided for by the Constitution, such as legislative apportionment.
How does a constitutional amendment proposed by joint resolution actually become part of the Florida Constitution?

Section 5(a) of Article XI of the Florida Constitution provides in part that:

A proposed amendment to or revision of this constitution, or any part of it, shall be submitted to the electors at the next general election held more than ninety days after the joint resolution...proposing it is filed with the custodian of state records....

If the Legislature desires to place the proposed amendment before the electors prior to the next general election, Section 5(a) further provides that:

...pursuant to law enacted by the affirmative vote of three-fourths of the membership of each house of the legislature and limited to a single amendment or revision, it...[may be] submitted at an earlier special election held more than ninety days after such filing.

You will notice that if a special election is desired, a separate bill must be enacted by a three-fourths vote. The House Bill Drafting Service will assist you in the preparation of such a bill, if the need should ever arise.
Section 5(c) of Article XI of the Florida Constitution provides that:

If the proposed amendment or revision is approved by vote of the electors, it shall be effective as an amendment to or revision of the constitution of the state on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in January following the election, or on such other date as may be specified in the amendment or revision.

If it is desired to have the amendment take effect on an "other date," this may be accomplished in several ways. Since the Constitution requires that the "other date" be "specified in the amendment," the House has followed the practice of accomplishing this by creation of a new section in Article XII, the schedule. (See Sections 16, 18, 19, 20, and 21 of Article XII for examples.) The Florida Senate prefers to include the "other date" in the amendatory phrase of the joint resolution, but such a placement is not technically "in the amendment." It is also permissible to include the "other date" in the text of the amendment itself, but then it will clutter up the Constitution indefinitely. When placed in the schedule, these provisions can be later removed as obsolete by simple adoption of a joint resolution, requiring no vote of the electors, under the provisions of Section 11 of Article XII.
A simple resolution (formally styled as a "House Resolution" or "Senate Resolution") is used by a single house of the Legislature to address the internal affairs of that body only or to make a formal statement with regard to a particular matter which is of interest to it. The effect of its adoption does not go beyond the bounds and the authority of the single house which acts upon it, and it is not subject to veto by the Governor.

Simple resolutions are commonly used to:

1. Regulate practice, procedure, and conduct of the House.
2. Create special committees.
3. Express an opinion or request to the other house of the Legislature.
4. Recognize the service or achievements of a particular individual or group.
5. Commemorate a special occasion or event.
6. Express sorrow over the death of a member of the Legislature or some other person.

Simple resolutions of the type listed in examples 4, 5, and 6, commonly known as “ceremonial” resolutions, are often composed in advance and then submitted to the House Bill Drafting Service, a practice which the House Bill Drafting Service encourages. Along with any rough draft of the resolution, any necessary background information needed to
prepare the resolution and substantiate the facts contained therein should be submitted.

When preparing a draft ceremonial resolution, the requester should be aware that the House Committee on Rules, Ethics, and Elections receives and reviews all House Resolutions for accuracy and appropriateness of content. Only a ceremonial resolution that receives a favorable report by the committee may be introduced, read, and adopted by publication in full in the Journal in accordance with House Rule or, if objected to by a member, placed on the Calendar of the House for consideration for placement on the Special Order Calendar. No ceremonial resolution found to be inaccurate or inappropriate by the committee will receive the required favorable report. With this in mind, those preparing working drafts of ceremonial resolutions should be careful to avoid any inflated or exaggerated claims or statistics and any statements that are untrue, misleading, or of a partisan political nature, or that could be construed as a business or commercial advertisement.

Upon completion of a draft ceremonial resolution by the House Bill Drafting Service, it is the responsibility of the requester to immediately provide a copy of the completed resolution, along with all information and documentation supporting and verifying every factual statement contained in the resolution, to the House Committee on Rules, Ethics, and
Elections to facilitate their review of the resolution. The committee typically requires a week to complete its review. You should not rely on the House Bill Drafting Service to transmit supporting background information to the Rules, Ethics, and Elections Committee, nor should you place the committee in the position of needing to retrieve the information from the House Bill Drafting Service. Doing so only results in unnecessary delay of the committee’s review and approval process and may possibly prevent your resolution from being heard in the House.

House policy provides that a resolution to be presented to the subject in a ceremony before the House should not exceed 250 words in length. This is so that the entire text of the resolution can be made to fit on a single page suitable for presentation and framing.

An example of a simple resolution may be found on page 110.

CONCURRENT RESOLUTIONS

In the past, concurrent resolutions were generally used to accomplish the same purposes in relation to the entire Legislature that a simple resolution accomplishes for either the House or Senate alone. House Rules limit the use of concurrent resolutions to "questions pertaining to extension of
a session, enactment of joint rules, ratification of federal constitutional amendments, communications with the Judiciary, or other procedural legislative matters." In addition, there are three purposes, specifically mentioned by the Florida Constitution, for which concurrent resolutions may occasionally be used. (See ss. 2 and 3(e), Art. III and s. 20(i), Art. V.)

Either house may initiate a concurrent resolution to be concurred in by the other house. It is not subject to veto by the Governor.

Possible examples of the "other procedural legislative matters" cited in House Rules might include the following purposes:

1. Creating joint interim legislative committees.
2. Notifying the Governor of the time of adjournment sine die.
3. Approving joint sessions of the houses.
4. Receiving the Governor's message or the message of some other distinguished guest.
5. Requesting the return of a bill from the Governor's desk.
6. Expressing an opinion to, or urge that action be taken by, an officer or agency of another state.

An example of a concurrent resolution may be found on page 111.
A memorial is really nothing more than a "resolution" expressing the opinion of the Legislature to the Federal Government. A memorial is in the nature of a petition requesting action or expressing an opinion or a desire respecting a matter which is within the jurisdiction of the Federal Government. It may be initiated by either the House or the Senate and is adopted by both houses. Perhaps the most common purpose is to urge the Congress to pass a particular piece of federal legislation that is currently pending, but it is also commonly used to urge the Congress to take appropriate action or provide a legislative solution with regard to an issue of national significance. A memorial may also be used to petition the President or a federal agency.

A memorial is not subject to veto by the Governor and upon its passage is sent directly to the specified congressional officials.

There is no such thing as a "one house" memorial. House Rules provide that all memorials shall contain the resolving clause "Be It Resolved by the Legislature of the State of Florida:" which requires passage by both houses of the Legislature. A sample memorial may be found on page 112.
Every type of legislation, whether it be a bill, resolution, or memorial, is subject to being amended in committee or council and on the floor of either house prior to final passage. This is accomplished by a formal procedure through which additions or modifications to the text are proposed and adopted during debate. When adopted, an amendment becomes a part of the proposed legislation the same as if it had appeared in the original text as introduced. Extreme care must be exercised in the preparation of amendments.

Detailed instructions for preparation of amendments and sample amendments may be found in Part V.

What is a title amendment?

A title amendment is an amendment to the title of a bill. In legal effect, it is no different from an ordinary amendment to the body of a bill. Its purpose is to conform the description of the bill contained in the title to substantive changes that have been made by amendment to the body of the bill. Though normally a component of a substantive amendment to the text of a bill, a title amendment can sometimes be a separate amendment. This occurs most commonly when a title amendment to a bill has been inadvertently omitted from a
substantive amendment or when a defect is discovered in the title to a bill.

What is a directory amendment?

Often an amendment adds or removes statute text from a section of a bill, consequently necessitating a change in the “directory” of the bill. (See the sample bill on page 26. The directory is located on lines 9 and 10 of the bill.) Beginning with the 1998 legislative session, amendments prepared in ITMS, the legislative computer system, allowed for a “directory amendment” as an optional third component of an amendment. The directory amendment component of a House amendment is located after the text amendment and before the title amendment. Great care should always be taken in preparing any amendment to ascertain whether the amendment necessitates a directory change, since a discrepancy between the directory language of a section of a bill and the statute text it represents can result in the inadvertent repeal of statute material.

As with title amendments, a directory amendment is normally a component of a substantive amendment, but can sometimes be a separate amendment, as in a case when a directory amendment has been mistakenly omitted from a substantive amendment or when a defect is discovered in the directory of a section of a bill.
A committee substitute is literally a bill which a committee has substituted for another bill. A standing committee, in reporting a bill, joint resolution, concurrent or simple resolution, or memorial, may draft a new measure embracing the same general subject matter, which may then be reported to the floor with the recommendation that the substitute be considered in lieu of the original measure (or measures). This procedure is often used when the committee has adopted several amendments and wishes to report a "clean" bill rather than passing the bill along to the next committee or to the floor with amendments “traveling with” the bill. Committee substitutes are also used to consolidate two or more bills into a single proposal.

A committee may not report a committee substitute for a bill which originated in the other house. If a committee desires to achieve this result, it is accomplished by reporting the bill favorably together with an amendment which proposes to strike everything after the enacting clause and substitute the new text. In this case, an appropriate title amendment would usually be necessary.
COUNCIL SUBSTITUTES

Under House Rules, each council receives an automatic reference of every bill or committee substitute therefor which has received a favorable report from each committee of reference and whose committee of first reference is a committee within the jurisdiction of that council, unless otherwise determined by the Speaker at the time of the original reference. As a result, a council, like a committee, considers the substance of legislation and may adopt a substitute bill in lieu of a bill under consideration. A council substitute is the council’s equivalent of a committee substitute, and all provisions that apply to committee substitutes apply to council substitutes. The primary difference, other than name, is that a council will usually report the substitute to the floor rather than to another committee or council.
A bill to be entitled
An act relating to state uniform traffic
control; amending s. 316.1895, F.S.; revising
requirements relating to school zone speed
limits; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (4) of section 316.1895, Florida
Statutes, is amended to read:

316.1895 Establishment of school speed zones,
enforcement; designation.—
(4) A school zone speed limit may not be more than 20
miles per hour nor less than 15 miles per hour except-by-local
regulation. After July 1, 1992, no school zone speed limit
shall be more than 20 miles per hour in an urbanized area, as
defined in s. 334.03. Such speed limit shall be clearly stated
on the proper devices pursuant to Department of Transportation
specifications and requirements and may be in force only
during those times 30 minutes before, during, and 30 minutes
after the periods of time when pupils are arriving at a
regularly scheduled breakfast program or a regularly scheduled
school session and leaving a regularly scheduled school
session.

Section 2. This act shall take effect October 1, 2001

*Reference to the "body" of a bill is generally understood to mean
all material following the enacting clause, including all directory
language and the effective date.